

## State of South Carolina

## Office of the Covernor

MARK SANFORD

Post Office Box 12267 COLUMBIA 29211

June 4, 2007

The Honorable Andre Bauer President of the Senate State House, 1sl Floor East Wing Columbia, SC 29202

Dear Mr. President and Members of the Senate:

I am hereby returning without my approval S. 174, R-58. This veto is based upon my belief that S. 174, R-58, is unconstitutional.

This bill increases payments for each member of the Horry County Transportation Committee from \$75 to \$100 for each meeting attended. The bill also allows an additional \$100 payment to the chairman of this committee for each meeting.

The state Constitution clearly prohibits the enactment of special legislation where a "general law can be made applicable." South Carolina Constitution Article III, Section 34 (IX). The General Assembly has established a general statute that prohibits county transportation committees from using "C" funds to pay per diems as administrative expenses. See S.C. Code § 12-28-2740(B), as amended. It is unconstitutional for the General Assembly to pass special legislation like S. 174, R-58, in contravention of general law. Each county's transportation committee should be subject to the same general law either allowing or disallowing per diems. Legislation like S. 174, R-58, demonstrates the policy basis for Article III, Section 34's, directive that special legislation be avoided in all cases where general legislation can be enacted.

Additionally, S. 174, R-58, affects only Horry County and is, therefore, clearly an act for a specific county. Such acts are in violation of Article VIII, Section 7, of the Constitution of the State of South Carolina, which provides that "[n]o laws for a specific county shall be enacted."

In summary, I believe the specific nature of S. 174, R-58, renders this Act unconstitutional. For this reason, I am returning S. 174, R-58, to you without my signature.

Sincerely,

Mark Sanford